

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,042	06/15/2006	Shuji Endo	Q95449	6962
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 09/14/2007	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			IP, SHIK LUEN PAUL	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
***************************************	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				TIT
·	App	plication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		/583,042	ENDO ET AL.	
		aminer	Art Unit	
		ul lp/	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	mmunication appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the If NO period for reply is specified above, the max Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	THE MAILING DATE (ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nis communication. imum statutory period will app for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date of	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ly and will expire SIX (6) MC the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commit ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on	,		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	on is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in con	dition for allowance e	except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex par	rte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in	the application.	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	• •	om consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	l to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or elec	ction requirement.		•
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 June	<i>≘ <u>2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ a</i>	ccepted or b) obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that an				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	cluding the correction is	required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the Examin	er. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None	•	ity under 00 0.0.0.	3 110(a) (a) or (i).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the p		e been received.		
2. Certified copies of the p			Application No.	
			n received in this National Sta	ae
application from the Inte				
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the	e certified copies no	t received.	
	•			
		•		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	view (DTO 8.15)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S 			o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/15/2006.	• • • • • •	6) 🔲 Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/15/2006 complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 4-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only, and/or, cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-9 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims1-9 are being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. Claim 1 recites a digital means, a

current command value calculating means, a current control means, a PWM control means, and an n-th-order hold means without the recitation of any structural relationship. Claims 1-9 are apparent leratal translation from the foreign document, which fail to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art Figure 2 of this application in view of Hsin (2003/0076616) or Roach et al (2005/0141422).

Prior Art Figure 2 shows every element except the n-th-order hold means.

However, the publications to Hsin and Roach et al disclose motor control systems

comprising "different order" (Hsin) and First Order Hold (Roach et al). Since the specification of this application discloses the problem of the zero-order hold circuit as shown in Prior Art Figure 2, and knowing the problems of the zero-order hold circuit, one of ordinary skill in the art would try or look for another solution to modify the zero-order hold circuit with a different order hold circuit or try different order hold circuit such as a first-order hold circuit or a n-th-order hold circuit to solve the problem. With/without the teaching of Hsin or Roach et al, one of ordinary skill in the would consider to replace the zero-order hold circuit with a first order hold circuit or a n-th order hold circuit in order to solve the zero-order hold circuit problem. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Prior Art Figure 2 with the first-order hold circuit or the different order hold circuit as taught or suggested by Hsin or Roach et al. Furthermore, claim 1 fails to provide any structural relationship in order to define an operational function of the n-th-order hold means in such a way to define the invention.

Citation of Pertinent References

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited on form 892 are zero order hold circuit and n-th order hold circuit for motor control systems.

Communication Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Paul Ip/ whose telephone number is (571)-272-1941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan, can be reached on(571)-272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Internet correspondence **MUST** be provided with a prior written authorization by applicant in the application file record giving the Office authorization to communicate with applicant vie e-mail. Without a written authorization by applicant in place, the USPTO will not respond via Internet e-mail to any Internet correspondence which contains information subject to the confidentially requirement as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 122.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul lp/

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2837

9/12/2007